

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,648	08/07/2006	Ryo Sakurai	Q96393	9259
23373 7590 04/05/2012 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAM	IINER
2100 PENNS YI, VANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/05/2012	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@sughrue.com sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Applicant(s) SAKURAI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
SRILAKSHMI K. KUMAR	2629

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2012 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
NO NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED	# 1 <del>-</del> 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection. No Notice of Appeal has been one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evide</li> </ol>	nce, which places the application in condition for allowance;			
	31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with a not permitted in design applications. The reply must be filed within one of			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.			
In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later				
within 2 months of the mailing date of the final rejection. The curre the prior Advisory Action or SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a), (b FIRST RESPONSE TO APPLICANTS FIRST ATER-FINA REJECTION. ONLY CHECK BOX (c) IN THE LIMITED SI	t the final rejection, whichever is earlier. 1) or (c). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THIS ADVISORY ACTION IS THE AL REPLY WHICH WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL FUATION SET FORTH UNDER BOX (c). See MPEP 706.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date o extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determinist he appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (0) or (c) above, (i) chech mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any ear NOTICE OF APPEAL	period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The ie expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally sed. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance wind Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CAppeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time perio AMENOMENTS.</li> </ol>	CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of			
3. The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection, but prior to	the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because			
<ul> <li>a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration</li> </ul>	ion and/or search (see NOTE below);			
<ul> <li>b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for			
d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding	onding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	······································			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See a</li> </ol>	attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): (a) will not be entered, or (b) will be entered, and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after final action, but before or applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because s why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing the Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant falls to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 OFR 41.33(o)(11).				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the st REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	tatus of the claims after entry is below or attached.			
The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NC See Continuation Sheet.	DT place the application in condition for allowance because:			
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/</li> </ol>	08) Paper No(s)			
13. Other: TATUS OF CLAIMS				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: .				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
onanijo) mana anti nom oonalaanani.				
	/SRILAKSHMI K KUMAR/ Primary Examiner			
	Art Unit: 2629			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues where the prior and of Ogura does not teach the problems that are solved by the instant application. The prior and of Ogura is not required remedy the same problems which are solved by the instant application. Ogura teaches the claimed limitation of a plurality of spacers (shown by the beads) arranged on a surface in a sealing agent portion located on the outer periphery of a display. Applicant argues where the structure of Ogura and the claimed invention are different where Ogura uses beads and the claimed invention uses partition walls, and where in Ogura the distance between the substrates is small, and in the claimed invention, the distance is long. Applicant claims a purpose of a space space between the substrate is a sealing agent. Applicant does not claim that the partition walls are the plurality of spacers are create a long distance between the substrates. Further, in claim 2, applicant claims that the spacers are manufactured when the partition walls are arranged, thus teaching also that the partition walls are not the spacers. Therefore, the plurality of spacers and create ach the claimed limitation. And the combination of Ogura and Lecain is proper as Ogura is added to teach the feature of having a plurality of spacers. Thus, the rejection is maintained.